

Biological Forum – An International Journal

14(1): 1626-1632(2022)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Diversity and Root Colonization in Pisum sativum

Aishwarya¹, Manjula¹, Payal¹, Shivani Kaundal², Ravinder Kumar³, Ritika Singh⁴, Shubhi Avasthi⁵ and Ajay K. Gautam^{1*} ¹Plant Pathology Laboratory, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University Mandi (Himachal Pradesh), India. ²Microbiology and Crop Physiology Laboratory, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University Mandi (Himachal Pradesh), India. ³Soil Science Laboratory, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University Mandi (Himachal Pradesh), India. ⁴Plant Breeding and Genetics Laboratory, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University Mandi (Himachal Pradesh), India. ⁵School of Studies in Botany, Jiwaji University Gwalior (Madhya Pradesh) India.

> (Corresponding author: Ajay K. Gautam*) ((Received 13 December 2021, Accepted 19 February, 2022) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are soil fungi which form a mutualistic symbiosis with the roots of plants and enhanced uptake of immobile nutrients from the soil. The present study was carried out to study the association of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) with roots and rhizosphere of pea (*Pisum sativum*). A total of 17 AMF fungi belonging to 5 genera 17 species were isolated and identified from the rhizosphere soil. The dominant genus was *Glomus* (6 species), followed by *Acaulospora* (5 species); Boletus, *Gigaspora* (3 species), *Scutellospora* (2 species) and *Sclerocystis* represented by single species. Microscopic analyses of root samples revealed a variable degree of colonization by AM fungi. The different microscopic characters like size, colour, details of the wall layers and the nature of their subtending hyphae were also investigated to during this study.

Keywords: AM fungi, pea, root colonization, Mid-hill conditions, Himachal Pradesh.

INTRODUCTION

Pea (Pisum sativum L.) is a common leguminous crop belonging to the family "Fabaceae. "Faba" comes from Latin word which simply means "beans". It is third most important pulse crop commonly grown worldwide six-million-hectare area. The major pea over producing countries of the world are Germany, Italy, China and Canada followed by India, Australia, and the United States. France, Canada and Australia are major exporters of pea as they utilize over two million hectares of land area for pea cultivation. As per FAO Stat. (2014), pea occupies 4th position (10.53%) in area under cultivation and 5th position in total production (6.96%). Like other countries of the world, India also occupies a key position in pea production. Uttar Pradesh is the major pea growing state of India, produces about 49 % of total pea produced. In addition, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar and Maharashtra are also the major pea growing states of the country. Himachal Pradesh, a hilly state of India occupies 5th position in pea production with total production of 294.96 thousand metric tons per year.

Mycorrhiza is a non-disease-producing association in which the fungus invades the root to absorb nutrients. These fungi are found in a wide range of habitats usually inside the roots ramify into the surrounding bulk soil extending the root depletion zone around the root system. They transport water and mineral nutrients from the soil to the plant while the fungus is benefiting from the carbon compounds provided by the host plant (Warburton, 2005). This plant root association with these fungi play a vital role in supporting plant's health by improving plant nutrition (Jacoby et al., 2017), suppress pathogen outbreaks (Pieterse et al., 2014), nutrient exchange and modulation of abiotic stress tolerance (Cheng et al. 2019; Baum et al., 2015). They mainly facilitate nutrient uptake, mainly phosphorus, nitrogen (Campo et al., 2020) potassium, sulphur, copper, zinc, calcium etc. (Avio et al. 2006; Fanaei et al., 2015; Prasad et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018) and enhance the availability of nutrients as well as their translocation (Rouphael et al., 2015). Keeping in view the key benefits of association of mycorrhiza fungi with plants roots, the present study was carried out to investigate the diversity of AM fungi

associated with pea in different location of mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Study area

The study areas selected for sample collections were lies in the mid hill regions of district Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. The areas selected for surveys and sample collection were Chachyot, Naugroun, Ganai and Gohar in Chail-Chowk areaand from Balh valley: Kummi, Ratti, Surandi, Dadour, Gagal and Sakroha. The areas are situated in mid hill conditions of District Mandi and fall in second zone, Mid-hill zone of Himachal Pradesh. Total five pea plants were randomly selected from each study site for the collection of plants and soil samples (Plate I). Soils up to the depth of 0-30cm were collected in sterile polythene bags and carried to the laboratory for further analyses.





B. Assessment of root colonization by Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)

For staining of root to assess root colonization of AMF, a method described by Phillips and Hayman (1970) for roots and modified by Kormanik *et al.* (1980) was employed. Freshly collected roots of pea plants were washed thoroughly with tap water, cut it into 1 cm length and cleared in 10% (w/v) KOH for 1

hour at 90°C, acidified with 1 % HCl and stained with 0.05% trypan blue overnight and then finally destained with lactic acid- glycerin (1:1 by volume) at room temperature. Slides were prepared and observed under a compound microscope for any of structures associated with mycorrhizal fungi viz., hyphae, vesicles or arbuscules. Root colonization was assessed by using following formula:

% colonisation = $\frac{\text{Total number of colonized root/tissues pieces}}{\text{Total number of root / tissue pieces examined}} \times 100$

C. Isolation and Identification of AM Fungi

The isolation of AM fungal spores was carried out by wet-sieving and decanting method (Gerdeman and Nicolson 1963). The soil samples were carried to the laboratory in polythene bags and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C for isolation of AMF spores. Total 25g of soil was mixed in 100 ml of water in a glass beaker and stirred constantly with a glass rod to make a uniform suspension. The suspension was left for five minutes so that mycorrhizal debris floated on the top. The suspension was passed through a set of sieves of different sizes (240 μ m, 120 μ m, 100 μ m, 63 μ m, 30 μ m). The final decanted suspension of sieving was passed thorough what man filter paper. This process was repeated 8-10 times to trap all spores of AM fungi. The sieved material collected from sieves was observed under stereomicroscope and the spores were isolated using hypodermal needle. Spore population was expressed in terms of number of spores per 25 gm of dry soil.

To aid in the identification of AM fungi, the resting spores were mounted in polyvinyl lactic acid and the size, colour, details of the wall layers and the nature of their subtending hyphae were recorded as per the method suggested by (Phillips and Hayman 1970). The AMF isolates were identified at least to species level. The rhizosphere soil samples were expressed in term of percentage occurrence as per the given formula:

% age of occurance = $\frac{\text{Total no. of Spores of Individual AM fungi}}{\text{Total no. of Spores of AM Fungi}} \times 100$

RESULTS

A. Root colonization by AM Fungi

The analyses of root samples confirmed association of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) with Pea roots. The root samples collected from all the locations showed variable percentage of root colonization with various mycorrhizal fungi. The highest root colonization was observed with root samples plants collected from Kummi (56.6%) followed by Surandhi (53%) and Chachyot (42%) whereas, the range of root colonization form Ratti, Sakroha, Chail-Chowk, Ganai, Gagal, Dadour, Gohar was observed in the range of 34-40.5%. The detailed results of root colonization of pea plants with Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Arbuscular mycorrhizal AM fungi colonization (percentage) of root or tissue of pea plants.

Sampling Sites	Samples	Number of roots colonized	Number of root segments examined	Rate of colonization (%age)	Average (%age)
	Sample 1	3	6	50	
	Sample2	4	10	40	
	Sample 3	6	10	60	
Ratti	Sample 4	4	8	50	44
	Sample 5	2	10	20	
	Sample 1	4	8	50	
	Sample2	4	8	50	
	Sample 3	6	10	60	
Surandhi	Sample 4	2	8	25	53
	Sample 5	8	10	80	
	Sample 1	4	8	50	
	Sample?	2	8	25	
	Sample 3	6	10	60	-
Sakroha	Sample 4	2	10	20	- 39
	Sample 5	4	10	40	
	Sample 1	2	8	25	
	Sample?	4	10	40	-
	Sample 3	1	4	25	-
Gagal	Sample 4	3	10	30	34
Ougui	Sample 5	4	8	50	
	Sample 1		10	20	
	Sample?	2	8	50	_
	Sample 2	4	8	50	-
Dadour	Sample 4	2	10	50	41
Dadoui	Sample 5	2	4	25	
	Sample 1	2	8	50	
	Sample 1	4	8	25	-
	Sample 2	<u> </u>	8	<u> </u>	-
Chail-	Sample 3	2	10	20	30
Chowk	Sample 5	2	10	20	39
	Sample J	4	10	40	
	Sample 1	5	10	50	_
	Sample 2	3	10	70	_
Chashvot	Sample 3	7	10	/0	42
Chachyot	Sample 4	2	5	40	42
	Sample 5	1	5	20	
	Sample 1	4	10	40	_
	Sample2	2	10	20	_
Const	Sample 3	3	12	25	24.5
Ganai	Sample 4	5	8	62.5	34.5
	Sample 5	2	8	25	
	Sample I	5	10	50	_
	Sample2	6	12	50	_
<u> </u>	Sample 3	3	8	37.5	40.5
Gohar	Sample 4	2	8	25	40.5
	Sample 5	4	10	40	
	Sample 1	4	10	40	4
	Sample2	6	10	60	4
	Sample 3	8	10	80	
Kummi	Sample 4	5	8	62.5	56.5
	Sample 5	4	10	40	

B. Association of AM Fungi

The soil samples collected from different study sites were analysed for occurrence of Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal spores. The occurrence and abundance of AM fungi from different soil samples was calculated on 30^{th} , 60^{th} and 90^{th} days after sowing of pea plants. A variation in diversity of Am fungi was observed with respect to collection sites however, no significant difference was observed age of the plants (30^{th} , 60^{th} and 90^{th} days after sowing). The percentage of occurrence of Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi on 30^{th} day was observed highest in Dadour (20.04%) followed by Gohar (20%), Kummi (19.94) and Surandhi (19.73%). Similarly, this percentage of occurrence was observed maximum in Chail-chowk (20%) followed by Chachyot (20%) and Kummi (19.96%) and Gohar (18.86%) on 60^{th} days after sowing whereas, on 90^{th} day it was observed highest in Ratti (19.98%) and Chail-Chowk (19.98%) and then Sakroha (19.96%) and (15.23%). The detailed results of occurrence of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi with pea plants on 30^{th} , 60^{th} , 90^{th} days after sowing are presented in Table 2.

1 a b c 2, $1 c c c c c a c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Table 2: Percentage occurrence	of Arbuscular M	vcorrhizal fungi on 30 th ,	, 60 th ,	, 90 th da	vs after sowing.
--	--------------------------------	-----------------	--	----------------------	-----------------------	------------------

Samping sinceSampie30 ^h Day60 ^h Day90 ^h Day90 ^h Day90 ^h DaySample 17.149.6711.11Sample 328.5722.5822.22Sample 425.0125.8025.Sample 521.4222.5822.22Sample 512.0519.0419.23Sample 118.7514.2815.38Sample 218.7514.2815.38Sample 512.0519.0419.23Sample 612.0514.2815.38Sample 712.2219.0419.23Sample 712.2219.0419.23Sample 812.0514.2815.38Sample 122.2219.0419.23Sample 211.1133.3330.76Sample 211.1133.3330.76Sample 211.1135.38Sample 314.2815.38Sample 411.1135.38Sample 517.4010Sample 610.0112.50Sample 130.0228.12Sample 314.28Sample 413.33Sample 413.33Sample 510.0112.9919.9919.96Sample 130.02Sample 210.0112.9919.99Sample 314.81Sample 413.33Sample 413.33Sample 510.0112.9919.99Sample 113.66	Sompling sites	Samples	%age of occurrence		Average (%age)			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sampling sites	Samples	30 th Day	60 th Day	90 th Day	30 th Day	60 th Day	90 th Day
		Sample 1						
		Sample 1	7.14	9.67	11.11			
		Sample 2	17.85	19.35	19.44			
	Ratti	Sample 3	28.57	22.58	22.22	19 99	19.96	19.98
	Rutti	Sample 4	25.01	25.80	25	17.77		19.90
		Sample 5	21.42	22.58	22.22			
		Sample 1	18.75	19.04	19.23			
		Sample 2	18.75	14.28	15.38			
		Sample 3	12.05	19.04	19.23			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Surandhi	Sample 4	37.05	33.33	30.76	19.73	19.94	19.96
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 5	12.05	14.28	15.38			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 1	22.22	19.04	19.23			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 2	11.11	19.04	19.23			
		Sample 3	22.22	14.28	15.38			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sakroha	Sample 4	11.11	33.33	30.76	19.99	19.94	19.96
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 5	33.33	14.28	15.38			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 1	25.92	26.66	2.64			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 2	40.74	40	38.23			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 3	14.81	16.66	20.58			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Gagal	Sample 4	11.11	6.66	8.82	19.99	19.96	15.23
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 5	7.40	10	5.88			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 1	30.01	25.80	28.12			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 2	10.01	12.90	15.62			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 3	20.01	22.58	25			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dadour	Sample 4	23.33	19.35	21.87	20.04	19.96	19.96
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Sample 5	16.66	19.35	9.37			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 1	46.66	40	37.5			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Sample 2	6.66	10	12.5			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Chail Chawle	Sample 3	6.66	10	16.66			
Sample 5 26.66 25 25 Sample 118.1818.7518.18Sample 218.1818.7522.72Sample 318.1818.7522.72Sample 436.3631.2527.27Sample 59.0912.513.63Sample 121.7323.0722.58Sample 213.047.699.67Sample 330.4330.7629.03Sample 48.6911.5312.90Sample 526.0826.9225.80Sample 526.0826.9225.80Sample 116.6617.6418.18Sample 225.0123.5227.27Sample 316.6617.6413.63Sample 425.0117.649.09Sample 516.6617.7731.81KummiSample 115.9017.77Sample 220.4522.22Sample 313.6324.4420.7753.81Sample 422.7215.55Sample 516.6627.77Sample 516.6617.77Sample 516.6617.77Sample 516.6617.77Sample 422.72Sample 515.58Sample 516.6617.7717.30Sample 115.90Sample 516.6617.7717.30Sample 516.6619.9919.9619.9919.96 <td>Chan-Chowk</td> <td>Sample 4</td> <td>13.33</td> <td>15</td> <td>8.33</td> <td>19.99</td> <td>20</td> <td>19.98</td>	Chan-Chowk	Sample 4	13.33	15	8.33	19.99	20	19.98
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Sample 5	26.66	25	25			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sample 1	18.18	18.75	18.18			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sample 2	18.18	18.75	22.72			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sample 3	18.18	18.75	18.18			
Sample 5 9.09 12.5 13.63 Sample 1 21.73 23.07 22.58 Sample 2 13.04 7.69 9.67 Sample 3 30.43 30.76 29.03 Ganai Sample 4 8.69 11.53 12.90 Sample 5 26.08 26.92 25.80 19.99 Sample 1 16.66 17.64 18.18 Sample 2 25.01 23.52 27.27 Sample 3 16.66 17.64 13.63 Gohar Sample 4 25.01 17.77 31.81 Kummi Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 Kummi Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07 19.99	Chachyot	Sample 4	36.36	31.25	27.27	19.99	20	19.96
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sample 5	9.09	12.5	13.63			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sample 1	21.73	23.07	22.58			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sample 2	13.04	7.69	9.67			
Ganai Sample 4 8.69 11.53 12.90 19.99 19.94 19.96 Sample 5 26.08 26.92 25.80 10.99 10.94 19.96 Sample 1 16.66 17.64 18.18 10.96 10.96 10.96 Gohar Sample 2 25.01 23.52 27.27 10.96 18.86 19.96 Gohar Sample 3 16.66 17.64 9.09 20 18.86 19.96 Sample 5 16.66 17.77 31.81 10.96 19.96 19.96 19.96 Kummi Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 19.99 19.96 19.94 Kummi Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 19.99 19.96 19.94 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07 19.99 19.96 19.94		Sample 3	30.43	30.76	29.03			
Sample 5 26.08 26.92 25.80 Sample 1 16.66 17.64 18.18 Sample 2 25.01 23.52 27.27 Sample 3 16.66 17.64 13.63 Gohar Sample 4 25.01 17.64 9.09 Sample 5 16.66 17.77 31.81 18.86 19.96 Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 18.86 19.96 Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 19.96 19.94 Kummi Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 19.99 19.96 19.94 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07 19.99 19.96 19.94	Ganai	Sample 4	8.69	11.53	12.90	19.99	19.94	19.96
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Sample 5	26.08	26.92	25.80			
Sample 2 25.01 23.52 27.27 Sample 3 16.66 17.64 13.63 Sample 4 25.01 17.64 9.09 20 18.86 19.96 Sample 5 16.66 17.77 31.81 20 18.86 19.96 Kummi Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 20 18.86 19.96 Kummi Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 21.15 23.07 19.96 19.94 Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 19.99 19.96 19.94		Sample 1	16.66	17.64	18.18			
Sample 3 16.66 17.64 13.63 Gohar Sample 4 25.01 17.64 9.09 20 18.86 19.96 Sample 5 16.66 17.77 31.81 20 18.86 19.96 Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 20 18.86 19.96 Kummi Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 20.45 22.97 15.55 15.38 19.99 19.96 19.94 Kummi Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 19.99 19.96 19.94		Sample 2	25.01	23.52	27.27			
Gohar Sample 4 25.01 17.64 9.09 20 18.86 19.96 Sample 5 16.66 17.77 31.81 <		Sample 3	16.66	17.64	13.63			
Sample 5 16.66 17.77 31.81 Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07	Gohar	Sample 4	25.01	17.64	9.09	20	18.86	19.96
Sample 1 15.90 17.77 17.30 Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07	Contai	Sample 5	16.66	17.77	31.81	1		
Sample 2 20.45 22.22 21.15 Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 19.99 19.96 19.94 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07 19.99 19.96 19.94		Sample 1	15.90	17.77	17.30			
Sample 3 13.63 24.44 23.07 Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 19.99 19.96 19.94 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07 19.99 19.96 19.94		Sample 2	20.45	22.22	21.15	1		
Kummi Sample 4 22.72 15.55 15.38 19.99 19.96 19.94 Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07 19.94 19.94 19.94		Sample 3	13.63	24.44	23.07	1		
Sample 5 16.66 20 23.07	Kummi	Sample 4	22.72	15.55	15.38	19.99	19.96	19.94
		Sample 5	16.66	20	23.07			

Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(1): 1626-1632(2022)

C. Identification and Diversity assessment of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi

Total five genera and 17 species of mycorrhizal fungi were isolated from the rhizosphere of Pea (*Pisum sativum*) from all samples collected from various sampling sites of mid hill conditions of district Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. The genus *Glomus* was isolated with maximum 6 species followed by *Acaulospora* (05 sp.), *Gigaspora* (03 sp.), *Scutellospora* (02 sp.) whiles *Sclerocystis* with single species. The genus *Glomus* is classified as a mycorrhizal type with a wide distribution found in almost all ecosystems (Ibou *et al.*, 2021; Lara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021). While the genera *Scutellospora* and *Acaulospora* have limited distribution (Ibou *et al.*, 2021; Lara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *et al.*, 2021; Sukmawati *et al.*, 2021; Mara-Capistran *e*

Table 3: Types and density	of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal	fungi (AMF) spores.
----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

Sn No	AM funci	Identification parameters								
SF. NO.	AM fuligi	Diameter	Wall width	Colour	Hypha					
1.	Glomus fugianum	$264 \times 231 \mu m$	16µm	Dark brown to yellow	Absent					
2.	Glomus macrocarpum	$132 \times 165 \mu m$	4µm	Light yellow & transparent	Present					
3.	Glomus melanosporum	$231 \times 231 \mu m$	3µm	Dark black and brown inside	Present					
4.	Glomus multicauli	$297 \times 297 \ \mu m$	15µm	Brown and black	Absent					
5.	Glomus spercum	$40.5 \times 98.5 \mu m$	10 µm	Dark yellow to black inside	Absent					
6.	Glomus sp.	297 × 198 μm	10 µm	Dark yellow to brown inside.	Absent					
7.	Acaulospora denticulata	191 × 165 µm	8.5 µm	Yellow to brown	Absent					
8.	Acaulospora bireticulata	$181.5 \times 214.5 \ \mu m$	15 µm	Dark brown	Absent					
9.	Acaulospora rehmii	$264 \times 231 \ \mu m$	16 µm	Yellow to brown	Absent					
10.	Acaulospora dilatata	$171.6\times188.1\mu m$	16 µm	Brown	Present					
11.	Acaulospora undulata	$181.5\times214.5\mu m$	10 µm	Brown to dark brown	Present					
12.	Gigaspora albida	$264 \times 231 \mu m$	8 µm	Dark brown	Present					
13.	Gigaspora margarita	184.5 × 132µm	13 µm	Yellow	Absent					
14.	Gigaspora rosea	214.5 × 198µm	6 µm	Black	Present					
15.	Scutellospora dipurpurscens	297 × 297 μm	6 µm.	Brown	Present					
16.	Scutellospora minuta	$397 \times 297 \ \mu m$	4 µm	Transparent shade	Present					
17.	Sclerocystis sp.	$330 \times 330 \mu m$	4 µm	Dark brown	Absent					

The five genera and 17 species of mycorrhizal fungi were isolated during the present study are as *Glomus fugianum*, *G. macrocarpum*, *G. melanosporum*, *G. multicauli*, *G. spercum*, *Glomus* sp., *Acaulospora denticulate*, *A. bireticulata*, *A. rehmii*, *A. dilatata*, *A. undulate*, *Gigaspora albida*, *G. margarita*, *G. rosea*, *Scutellospora dipurpurscens, S. minuta* and *Sclerocystis* sp. The isolated AM fungi showed a great degree of variations in occurrence at different sampling sites. The diversity and distribution of AM fungi at different sampling sites is given in Table 4.

AM Ermoi	Balh Valley						Chail – Chowk valley					
AM Fungi	Ku	Sa	Su	Da	Ra	Gag	Cc	Ch	Gan	Go	Ft 1	Ft 2
Glomus fugianum	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-
Glomus macrocarpum	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-
Glomus melanosporum	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
Glomus spercum	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
Glomus spp.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
Acaulospora denticulate	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Acaulospora bireticulata	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Acaulospora rehmii	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
Acaulospora dilatata	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Acaulospora undulate	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
Gigaspora albida	+	-	+	1	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Gigaspora margarita	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
Gigaspora rosea	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Scutellospora dipurpurscens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+
Scutellospora minuta	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
Sclerocystis spp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-

Table 4: The distribution of AM fungi in different sampling sites.

Ku= Kummi, Sa= Sakroha, Su= Surandhi, Da= Dadour, Ra= Ratti, Gag= Gagal, Cc= Chail-Chowk,

Ch= Chachyot, Gan= Ganai, Go= Gohar, Ft1=Field trial=1, Ft2=Field trial 2.

DISCUSSION

Root colonization was checked first to observe the AM fungi presence or absence in plant root samples. The variation in percentage root colonization with various AM fungi under natural conditions was observed in this study. Variability in humidity, temperature, moisture texture, pH of soil and available nutrients played an important role in root colonization by AM fungi (Herold *et al.*, 2014; Bhardwaj and Chandra 2018; Liu *et al.*, 2016). The root colonization by different AM fungi has already been studied on very wide scale throughout the world. The plant like *Asparagus* sp.,

Smilax sp., *Rhizophagus* sp., *Withania* sp., *Claroideoglomus* sp. has been investigated for association of AMF with plant roots (Thangavelu and Raji 2016; Yaseen *et al.*, 2016; Johny *et al.*, 2021).

The AM fungi in the rhizosphere of pea plant revealed the association of five genera and 17 species. However, a great variability in the diversity of these fungi was observed from soil samples collected from various study sites. This variation could be due to the reason of variation in physical and chemical properties of the soil (Urcoviche et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Abedi and Esfandiari 2017) and seasonal periods and host plant (Guyonnet et al., 2017). Being a commercial agricultural crop, tillage as well as land use intensity also affects the diversity and structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities (Jansa et al., 2002; Oehl et al., 2004; Mathimaran et al., 2005). A study of Krüger et al., (2012) also reported the isolation of Glomus is the most diverse of the genus from rhizosphere of some medicinal plants. Similarly, Garampalli et al. (2012) also isolated Glomus as predominant genus in the rhizosphere 46 medicinal plants whereas, Bhat *et al.* (2014) also isolated it as predominant genus in the rhizosphere *Catharanthus roseus*. Several academics are working on identifying certain mycorrhizal fungus and their role in phytochemical production (Kumar *et al.*, 2021).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study was focused mainly on investigation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) roots and rhizosphere soil samples for the association of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi. As pea is one of the major commercial crop of mid hill regions of Himachal Pradesh and the associations of AM Fungi in general may be useful to improve soil microbial status and overall performance of these plants. considering the importance of pea as commercial crop and usefulness of AM fungi, further studies should be focused on the evaluation of dominant mycorrhizal fungi association with agricultural crops and impact on plant growth and metabolite production.



Plate II: Root colonization by AM fungi A) HCl solution; B) Fine roots acidified in HCl solution; C) & D) microscopic view of AMF colonized roots.



Plate III: Different species of the genus *Glomus* isolated from rhizosphere of pea: A) Acaulospora denticulate, B) Acaulospora bireticulata, C) Acaulospora rehmii, D) Acaulospora dilatata, E) Acaulospora undulate. F) Glomus fugianum, G) Glomus macrocarpum, H) Glomus melanosporum, I) Glomus multicauli, J) Glomus spercum, K) Glomus sp., L) Scutellospora dipurpurscens, M) Scutellospora minuta, N) Sclerocystis sp.

Acknowledgement. Authors are grateful to their respective organizations for necessary laboratory facilities and encouragement to carry out this research work successfully.

REFERENCES

Abedi, B and Esfandiari, B. (2017). Effect of Mycorrhizal Fungi on Morpho-physiologic and Nutritive Characteristics of Flying Dragon under Salinity Stress. International

Aishwarya et al.,

Journal of Theoretical & Applied Sciences, 9(2): 288-293.

- Avio, L., Pellegrino, E. and Bonari, E. (2006). Functional diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates in relation to extraradical mycelial networks. *New Phytologist*, 172(2): 347-357.
- Baum, C., Tohamy, W. and Gruda, N. (2015). Increasing the productivity and product quality of vegetable crops using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a review. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 187: 131–141.
- Bhardwaj, A. K. and Chandra, K. K. (2018). Soil moisture fluctuation influences AMF root colonization and spore population in tree species planted in degraded entisol soil. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 13(3): 229-243.
- Bhat, B. A., Sheikh, M. A. and Tiwari, A. (2014). Impact of various edaphic factors on AMF spore population and diversity in *Catharanthus roseus* at Gwalior. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 9(1): 1-6.
- Campo, S., Cardoso, M. H. and Olive, M. (2020). Effect of root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth, productivity and blast resistance in rice. *Rice*, 13(1): 42.
- Cheng,Y. T., Zhang, L. and He, S. Y. (2019). Plant-microbe interactions facing environmental challenge. *Cell Host* and Microbe, 26(2): 183–192.
- Egerton, L. M., Warburton, Garden, C. B., Glencoe and Allen, M. F. (2005). Mycorrhizal Fungi. *Module in Earth Systems* and Environmental Sciences, 533-542.
- Fanaei, H. R., Sadegh, H.N., Yousefi, T. and Farmanbar, M. (2015). Influence of drought stress on some characteristics of plants. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 7(1): 1732-1738.
- Garampalli, R. K. H., Seema, H. S. and Sunil, K. C. P. (2012). Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with some medicinal plants in Western Ghats of Karnataka region, India. World Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, 2(1): 13-20.
- Gerdemann, J. W. and Nicolson, T. H. (1963). Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 46(2): 235–244.
- Guyonnet, J. P., Vautrin, F. and Meiffren, G. (2017). The effects of plant nutritional strategy on soil microbial denitrification activity through rhizosphere primary metabolites. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 93(4): 22.
- Herold, N., Schoning, I. and Gutknecht, J. (2014). Soil property and management effects on grassland microbial communities across a latitudinal gradient in Germany. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 73: 41–50.
- Ibou, D., Fatou, N., Abdala, D., Tatiana, K. W., Francis, D. R., Kandioura, N., Paul, A. J., and Aboubacry, K. (2021). Diversity and spore density of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the rhizosphere of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) cultivated in different soils in Senegal. *Journal of Animal and Plant Science*, 48(1): 8552–8565.
- Jacoby, R., Peukert, M. and Succurro, A. (2017). The role of soil microorganisms in plant mineral nutrition-current knowledge and future directions. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8: 16-17.
- Jansa, J., Mozafar, A., Anken, T., Ruh, R., Sanders S.R. and Frossard, E. (2002). Diversity and structure of AMF communities as affected by tillage in a temperate soil. *Mycorrhiza*, 12(5): 225-34.
- Johny, L., Cahill, D. M. and Adholeya, A. (2021). AMF enhance secondary metabolite production in ashwagandha, licorice, and marigold in a fungi-host specific manner. *Rhizosphere*, 17: 100314.

- Kruger, M., Kruger, C. and Walker C. (2012). Phylogenetic reference data for systematics and phylotaxonomy of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from phylum to species level. *New Phytologist*, *193*(4): 970-984.
- Kumar, S., Arora, N., Upadhyay, H. (2021). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: Source of secondary metabolite production in medicinal plants. In: Singh J, Gehlot P (eds) New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering. *Elsevier.*, 26: 1010.
- Lara, C. L., Rodriguez, Z. R., Amador, M. B., Acosta, D. M. and Montiel, L. G. (2021). Biodiversity of AM fungi in coffee cultivated on eroded soil. *Agronomy*, 11(567): 1– 10.
- Liu C, Ravnskov S., & Liu F. (2018). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alleviate abiotic stresses in potato plants caused by low phosphorus and deficit irrigation/partial root-zone drying. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 156: 46–58.
- Liu, W., Zhang, Y. and Jiang, S. (2016). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil and roots respond differently to phosphorus inputs in an intensively managed calcareous agricultural soil. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1): 24902.
- Liu, W., Zhang, Y. and Jiang, S. (2016). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil and roots respond differently to phosphorus inputs in an intensively managed calcareous agricultural soil. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1): 24902.
- Mathimaran, N., Mahaveer, P., Sharma, Mohan, B. and Bagyaraj, D. J. (2005). Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and drought tolerance in crop plants. *Mycosphere*, 8(3): 361– 376.
- Oehl, F., Sieverding, E. and Mader, P. (2004). Impact of longterm conventional and organic farming on the diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecosystem Ecology*, 138: 574–583.
- Phillips, J. M. and Hayman, D. S. (1970) Improved procedure for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular– arbusular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*, 55: 158-161.
- Pieterse, C. M., Zamioudis, C. and Berendsen, R. L. (2014). Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annual review of phytopathology*, 52: 347–375.
- Prasad, R., Bhola, D. and Akdi, K. (2017). Introduction to mycorrhiza: historical development. In: Varma A, Prasad R, Tuteja N Mycorrhiza. Springer, 4 :57-69.
- Rouphael, Y., Franken, P. and Schneider C. (2015). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi act as bio-stimulants in horticultural crops. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 196: 91–108.
- Sukmawati, S., Adnyana, A., Suprapta, D. N., Proborini, M., Soni, P., Gamawati, P. and Adinurani (2021). Multiplication arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in corn (Zea mays L.) with pots culture at greenhouse. E3S Web of Conferences, 226(00044): 1-10.
- Thangavelu, M. and Raji, M. (2016). Arbuscular mycorrhizal and dark septate endophyte fungal associations in Asparagus. Turkish Journal of Botany, 40: 662-675.
- Urcoviche, R. C., Castelli, M., Gimenes, R. M. T. (2014). Spore density and diversity of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in medicinal and seasoning plants. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(16): 1244-1251.
- Wang, Y., Wang, M. and Li, Y. (2018). Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and nitrogen uptake of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* under salt stress. *PLOS One*, 13(4):
- Yaseen, T., Khan, Y., Rahim, F. (2016). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi spores diversity and AMF infection in some medicinal plants of District Charsadda KPK. *Pure and Applied Biology*, 5(4): 1176-1182.

How to cite this article: Aishwarya, Manjula, Payal, Shivani Kaundal, Ravinder Kumar, Ritika Singh, Shubhi Avasthi and Ajay K. Gautam (2022). Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Diversity and Root Colonization in *Pisum sativum*. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *14*(1): 1626-1632.